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The scalar Molodensky problem is to find
$W(\mathbf{x})$ gravity potential
$S \equiv\left\{\mathbf{x}(\lambda, \varphi\}\right.$ Earth surface $\mathbf{x}(\lambda, \varphi)=\mathbf{x}_{e}(\lambda, \varphi)+h(\lambda, \varphi) \nu(\lambda, \varphi)$
from $\left.W(\lambda, \varphi) \equiv W\right|_{S},\left.g(\lambda, \varphi)|\nabla W|\right|_{S}$


The scalar Molodensky problem is to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(\mathbf{x}) \text { anomalous potential } \\
& \zeta=\frac{T}{\gamma} \text { height anomaly }
\end{aligned}
$$

from the BVP formulated on a telluroid

$$
S \equiv\{U(\widetilde{h}) \equiv W(h)\}
$$

from the solution of the oblique derivative BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta T=0 \\
\left.\left(-\frac{\partial T}{\partial h}-\frac{\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial h}}{\gamma} T\right)\right|_{S}=\Delta g
\end{array}\right.
$$

(we ignore here the asymptotic conditions, since we watn to go to a simple planar approximation)

The planar Molodensky problem in planar approximation is to find $T(\mathbf{x})$ such that


Notice the use of the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\left|\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right| \\
& \nabla_{0} T=\mathbf{e}_{x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial X}+\mathbf{e}_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} T=\nabla_{\xi} T \\
& T^{\prime}=\frac{\partial T}{\partial Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

The third Green identity - or better its limit when $z \rightarrow H(\xi)$.

$$
T(\xi, H(\xi))=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S}\left\{T(\eta, H(\eta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\eta}} \frac{1}{\ell_{\xi \eta}}-\frac{\partial T}{\partial n_{\eta}}(\eta, H(\eta)) \frac{1}{\ell_{\xi \eta}}\right\} d S_{\eta}
$$

Note


$$
\begin{gathered}
\ell_{\xi \eta}=\left[|\xi-\eta|^{2}+(H(\xi)-H(\eta))^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\frac{\partial T(\eta, H)}{\partial n_{\eta}}=\mathbf{n}_{\eta} \cdot\left(\nabla_{0} T+\mathbf{e}_{z} T^{\prime}\right)(\eta, H(\eta))
\end{gathered}
$$

first the 3D gradient, then the trace on $S$ !

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial n_{\eta}} \frac{1}{\ell_{\xi, \eta}}=\mathbf{n}_{\eta} \cdot \frac{(\xi-\eta)+(H(\xi)-H(\eta)) \mathbf{e}_{z}}{\ell_{\xi \eta}^{3}}
$$

Important: if we introduce the trace and the Neumann operators
$\Gamma_{z}\{T(\mathbf{x})\} \equiv \Gamma_{z}\{T(\xi, x)\}=T(\xi, H(\xi)) ; N_{\xi, z}\{T(\mathbf{x})\} \equiv N_{\xi, z}\{T(\xi, z\}=\mathbf{n}(\xi) \cdot \nabla T(\xi, z)$ noting that

$$
\ell_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}=\ell\left(\xi, z ; \eta, z^{\prime}\right)=\left[|\xi-\eta|^{2}+\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

we can write the third Green identity more precisely as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{z}(T(\xi, z))=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S}\left\{\Gamma _ { z ^ { \prime } } \left(T\left(\eta, z^{\prime}\right) \Gamma_{z^{\prime}}\left[N_{\eta, z^{\prime}}\left(\ell_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}^{-1}\right)\right]+\right.\right. \\
& -\Gamma_{z^{\prime}}\left[N_{\eta, z^{\prime}}\left(T\left(\eta, z^{\prime}\right)\right] \Gamma_{z^{\prime}}\left(\ell_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}^{-1}\right\} d S_{y}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Boundary elements: notice that when

$$
-\Gamma_{z^{\prime}} N_{\eta, z^{\prime}}\left(T\left(\eta, z^{\prime}\right) \equiv-T_{n}(\eta, H(\eta))=g(\eta)\right.
$$

is known one can compute

$$
h(\xi)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int g(\eta) \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{z^{\prime}}\left(\ell_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}^{-1}\right) d S_{\eta}
$$

and the third Green identity becomes the integral equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\xi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int f(\eta) \frac{\mathbf{n}(\eta) \cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}{\ell_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}^{3}} d S_{\eta}=h(\xi) \\
& f(\xi)=T(\xi, H(\xi))
\end{aligned}
$$

the solution of which gives directly $T$ on $S$.

Can we write the B.E. integral equation for the Molodensky problem?

Yes but we need several steps and caution

- Put as before

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\xi)=T(\xi, H(\xi))=\Gamma_{z}(T) \\
& -\Delta g(\xi)=-T^{\prime}(\xi, H(\xi))=-\Gamma_{z}\left(T^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- define

$$
\mathbf{v}(\xi)=\nabla_{0} H(\xi)
$$

- note that

$$
\nabla_{0} \Gamma(T) \equiv \nabla_{0} f=\Gamma \nabla_{0}(T)+\Gamma\left(T^{\prime}\right) \nabla_{0} H
$$

so that

$$
\Gamma \nabla_{0}(T)=\nabla_{0} f+\Delta g \mathbf{v}
$$

- moreover we have the following geometric identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{n}=\cos I=\left(-\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_{z}\right) \\
& \cos I=\left[|\mathbf{v}|^{2}+1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} ; \operatorname{tg} I=|\mathbf{v}| \\
& \cos I d S_{\eta}=d S_{0}=\text { area element in the horizontal plane }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma N(T) & =\Gamma\left[\left(-\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}_{z}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{0} T+T^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{z}\right)\right] \cos I= \\
& =\left[-\mathbf{v} \cdot \Gamma \nabla_{0} T-\Delta g\right] \cos I= \\
& =\left[-\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{0} f-\Delta g|\mathbf{v}|^{2}-\Delta g\right] \cos I= \\
& =\left[-\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{0} f-\left(1+\operatorname{tg}^{2} I\right) \Delta g\right] \cos I
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int \Gamma_{z^{\prime}}[N(T)] \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{z^{\prime}} \ell_{\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} d S_{\eta}=\int \mathbf{v}(\eta) \cdot \nabla_{0} f(\eta) \ell_{\xi, \eta}^{-1} d S_{0}+ \\
& +\int\left(I+\operatorname{tg}^{2} I\right) \Delta g(\eta) \ell_{\xi, \eta}^{-1} d S_{0} \equiv \int \mathbf{v}(\eta) \cdot \nabla_{0} f(\eta) \ell_{\xi, \eta}^{-1} d S_{0}+h(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

- crucial step is the integration by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \mathbf{v}(\xi) \cdot \nabla_{0} f(\xi) \ell_{\xi, \eta}^{-1} d S_{0}=-\int \nabla_{0} \cdot \mathbf{v}(\eta) f(\eta) \ell_{\xi, \eta}^{-1} d S_{0}+ \\
& -\int \mathbf{v}(\eta) \cdot \frac{(\xi-\eta)+(H(\xi)-H(\eta)) \mathbf{v}(\eta)}{\ell_{\xi \eta}^{3}} f(\eta) d S_{0}=\left(\nabla_{0} \cdot \mathbf{v}(\eta)=\Delta_{0} H(\eta)\right) \\
& -\int \frac{\Delta_{0} H(\eta) f(\eta)}{\ell_{\xi, \eta}} d S_{0}-\int \frac{\mathbf{v}(\eta) \cdot(\xi-\eta)+(H(\xi)-H(\eta))|\mathbf{v}(\eta)|^{2}}{\ell_{\xi \eta}^{3}} f(\eta) d S_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Singular integral: compute in Cauchy principal part

- Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{z^{\prime}}\left\{N_{\eta, z^{\prime}}\left(\ell_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}^{-1}\right)\right\} & =\Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{z^{\prime}}\left\{\mathbf{n}(\eta) \cdot \frac{(\xi-\eta)+\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}_{z}}{\ell_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}^{3}}\right\}= \\
& =\left[\left(-\mathbf{v}(\eta)+\mathbf{e}_{z}\right) \cdot \frac{(\xi-\eta)+\left(H(\xi)-H(\eta) \mathbf{e}_{z}\right.}{\ell_{\xi, \eta}^{3}}\right] \cos I \\
& =\frac{-\mathbf{v}(\eta) \cdot(\xi-\eta)+(H(\xi)-H(\eta))}{\ell_{\xi, \eta}^{3}} \cos I
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\int f(\eta) \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{z^{\prime}}\left[N\left(\ell_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}^{-1}\right)\right] d S_{y}=\int f(\eta) \frac{-\mathbf{v}(\eta) \cdot(\xi-\eta)+(H(\xi)-H(\eta))}{\ell_{\xi, \eta}^{3}} d S_{0}
$$

- Summarizing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \pi f(\xi)=h(\xi)-\int \frac{\Delta H(\eta) f(\eta)}{\ell_{\xi, \eta}} d S_{0}+ \\
& +\int f(\eta) \frac{-2 \mathbf{v}(\eta) \cdot(\xi-\eta)+(H(\xi)-H(\eta))\left(I-|\nu(\eta)|^{2}\right)}{\ell_{\xi, \eta}^{3}} d S_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the Boundary Element equation for Molodensky's problem: it is a singular integral equation, as unavoidable for an oblique derivative problem.

## A last comment

Since Fredholm alternative holds, the uniqueness of the solution is particularly important.

This can be achieved directly by the Cartesian version of the energy integral.

From

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot\left(T^{\prime} \nabla T\right) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nabla T \cdot \nabla T= \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}|\nabla T|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

one has

$$
-\int_{S} T^{\prime} T_{n} d S=\int d S_{0} \int_{H(\xi)}^{+\infty} d z \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}|\nabla T|^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \int d S_{0}|\nabla T|_{S}^{2}
$$

So, putting $J=(\cos I)^{-1}$ and $J_{+}=\max _{S}(\cos I)^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int d S_{0}|\nabla T|_{S}^{2}=2 \int T^{\prime} T_{n} J d S_{0} \leq 2 J_{+}\left[\int \Delta g^{2} d S_{0}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int\left|T_{n}\right|^{2} d S_{0}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \\
& \leq 2 J_{+}\left[\int \Delta g^{2} d S_{0}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int|\nabla T|_{S}^{2} d S_{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplyfing we get

$$
\left[\int d S_{0}|\nabla T|_{S}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2 J_{2}\left[\int \Delta g^{2} d S_{0}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

that guarantees uniqueness and stability of the solution!

